#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

DAVID R. SABIN, as personal representative of the estate of Gregory Lee Richmond, deceased,

Plaintiff, Case No. 16-10196

Hon. Thomas L. Ludington

v. Magistrate Judge Patricia T. Morris

ST. HELEN PRIMARY CARE/
McLAREN BAY REGION, d/b/a
Bay Regional Medical Center,
MID MICHIGAN HEALTH PARK-HOUGHTON
LAKE, d/b/a Mid Michigan Health
Services, JAMES BASH, D.O.,
THEODORE BASH, D.O., WAYNE
WAHL, M.D., MARY BURKETT, N.P.,
KEVIN DENLINGER, D.O.,
DR. JOHN/JANE DOE [physician who
examined and treated Mr. Richmond on
or around February 9, 2011], jointly and
severally,

Defendants.

#### **NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

Defendant Kevin Denlinger, D.O., by his attorneys, Barbara L. McQuade, United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Michigan, and Theresa Urbanic, Assistant United States Attorney, provides this notice that the above-captioned case has been removed to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, respectfully representing as follows:

- 1. Dr. Kevin Denlinger is named as a defendant in the civil action, 15-15722469-NH, *David R. Sabin, as personal representative of the estate of Gregory Lee Richmond, deceased, v. St. Helen Primary Care/McLaren Bay Region et al.*, filed in the 34th Circuit Court of the State of Michigan (Roscommon County). Copies of all process, pleadings and orders received by Dr. Denlinger and/or MidMichigan Health Park-Houghton Lake and MidMichigan Health Services, in that action are attached to this Notice of Removal as Exhibit 1.
- 2. On or about August 13, 2015, a copy of the complaint was received by MidMichigan Community Health Services. No trial has yet occurred in the matter. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 233(b); 28 U.S.C. § 2679(d)(2).
- 3. The exclusive remedy for a tort claim against an employee of a federally funded health center is through the Federal Tort Claims Act. 42 U.S.C. § 233(a) & (g).
- 4. The Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1346(b) & 2671 et seq., as amended by the Federal Employees Liability Reform and Tort Compensation Act of 1988 § 5, Pub. L. No. 100-694, 102 Stat. 4563 (1988), provides that a suit against the United States shall be the exclusive remedy for persons with claims for damages resulting from the negligent or wrongful acts or omissions of federal employees taken within the scope of their office or

employment. 28 U.S.C. § 2679(b)(1). The state law medical malpractice claims made by Plaintiff David Sabin, as the personal representative of the estate of Gregory Richmond, in his complaint fall within this provision.

- 5. Section 6 of the Federal Employees Liability Reform and Tort Compensation Act provides that upon certification by the Attorney General that a federal employee was acting within the scope of his office or employment at the time of the incident out of which a state law claim arises, any civil action arising out of the incident shall be deemed an action against the United States, and the United States shall be substituted as the sole federal defendant with respect to that claim. 28 U.S.C. § 2679(d)(2); see also 42 U.S.C. § 233(c). The Attorney General has delegated certification authority to the United States Attorneys. 28 C.F.R. § 15.3(a). The United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Michigan has re-delegated certification authority to the Chief of the Civil Division.
- 6. Elizabeth J. Larin, Chief, Civil Division, Office of the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Michigan, has certified that at the time of the conduct alleged, Dr. Denlinger was acting within the scope of his employment as an employee of the United States and, more specifically, of a federally funded health center. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2671; Exhibit 2.
  - 7. Upon substitution, the United States becomes, by operation of law, the

sole defendant to Plaintiff's tort claim against Dr. Denlinger in Count VI. 28 U.S.C. § 2679(d)(1); Osborn v. Haley, 549 U.S. 225, 229-30 (2007).

- 8. Removal of this action is made pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1442, because the complaint advances claims against an employee of the United States. As required under *Mesa v. California*, 489 U.S. 121, 129 (1989), the United States has colorable federal defenses, such as sovereign immunity.
- 9. Removal of this action is also made pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441, because tort claims arise under the FTCA. Thus, the complaint raises a claim that arises under federal law. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 10. Removal of this action is also made pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2679(d) and 42 U.S.C. § 233(c), based on the Attorney General's designee's certification that Dr. Denlinger was acting in the scope of his employment at the time of the events alleged in the complaint. *See* Exhibit 2.
- 11. Because this case is not removed solely under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), the unanimity requirement of 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(2)(A) does not apply. *See* 14C Wright, Miller, Cooper & Steinman, Federal Practice and Procedure: Jurisdiction and Related Matters 4th, § 3726 at 267 & n.85 (2009 & 2015 Suppl.).
- 12. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), copies of all process, pleadings, and orders received by Dr. Denlinger or his employer, to date, in this action are attached

as Exhibit 1.

13. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), a copy of this Notice will be promptly

served on the parties and filed with the clerk of the 34th Judicial Circuit Court

(Roscommon County) of the State of Michigan.

WHEREFORE, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned action, now

pending in the 34th Judicial Circuit Court (Roscommon County) of the State of

Michigan, is being removed forthwith from that state court to the United States

District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division.

Respectfully submitted,

BARBARA L. McQUADE

United States Attorney

s/Theresa Urbanic

THERESA URBANIC (P68005)

Assistant United States Attorney

211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2001

Detroit, Michigan 48226-3211

Phone: (313) 226-9778

E-mail: theresa.urbanic@usdoj.gov

Dated: January 21, 2016

5

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that, on **January 21, 2016**, I electronically filed the foregoing paper with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system. I further certify that on that same date the foregoing paper was served upon the other parties whose appearances are listed on the complaint, via first class United States mail at the following addresses:

Clerk of the Court 34th Judicial District Court Roscommon County 500 Lake Street Roscommon, Michigan 48653-7690

Joel A. Sanfield (P 42968) Attorney for Plaintiff McKeen & Associates, P.C. 645 Griswold St., Ste. 4200 Detroit, Michigan 48226-4210 Bruce E. Bigler (P 23656) Giamarco Mullins & Horton PC 101 W. Big Beaver Rd. Fl. 10 Troy, MI 48084-5253

Molly S. Evans Feldesman Tucker Leifer Fidell 1129 20th St. NW #400 Washington, DC 20036

s/Theresa Urbanic

THERESA URBANIC (P68005) Assistant U.S. Attorney 211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2001 Detroit, MI 48226-3211 (313) 226-9778 theresa.urbanic@usdoj.gov

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

#### **INDEX OF EXHIBITS**

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Description</u>
1	Summons and Complaint, Case No.15-15722469-NH
2	Certificate of Scope of Employment

# Exhibit 1

STATE OF MICHIGAN		st copy - Defe	ndant		2nd copy - P 3rd copy - Re	
JUDICIAL DISTRICT 34th JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COUNTY PROBATE	SUMMON	S AND CO	<b>IPLAINT</b>	15-	CASE NO	-NH
ourt address				- 10	1226	out relephone
O Lake Street, Roscommon, MI 48653					(989) 275	-4776
laintiffs name(s), address(es), and telephone no	o(s).		Defendant's name	e(s), address(e:	s), and telephor	ne no(s).
Pavid R. Sabin, as Personal Representative of state of Gregory Lee Richmond, deceased	of the	V	Kevin Denlinge Mid Michigan I c/o Legal Affair 9249 W. Lake ( Houghton Lake	lealth Park-H s/Risk Manag City Road		ment
laintiff's attorney, bar no., address, and telephor	ne no.					
rian J. McKeen (P34126) bel A. Sanfield (P42968) 45 Griswold St., Suite 4200 etroit, MI 48226 13-961-4400	÷.					
. You are being sued YOU HAVE 21 DAYS after receiving the ortake other lawful action with the colling the complaint.	is summons to fil ourt (28 days if you	e a written	answer with the	e court and :	serve a copy o	on the other pa ate). (MCR2.111
ssued 6.5.15 This summan	s expires	Court clerk	S/MICH	ELLE N	I. STEVI	ENSON
COMPLAINT Instruction: The following by the plaintiff. Actual allegations and the amily Division Cases	This document must is information that is claim for relief n	be sealed by at is required must be state	to be in the capt ed on additional	ion of every c complaint p	ages and attac	ched to this for
COMPLAINT Instruction: The following by the plaintiff. Actual allegations and the	This document must is information that is information that is claim for relief notices of the control of the co	be sealed by  It is required  nust be state  isdiction of the	to be in the capted on additional the family division of the capted on t	ion of every c complaint pa n of circuit co family or fan	ages and attacular involving the nily members	ched to this for ne family or fan of the parties h
COMPLAINT Instruction: The following by the plaintiff. Actual allegations and the family Division Cases  There is no other pending or resolved as members of the parties.  An action within the jurisdiction of the family Division Cases	This document must is information that is information that is claim for relief notices of the control of the co	be sealed by  It is required  nust be state  isdiction of the	to be in the capted on additional	ion of every c complaint pa n of circuit co family or fan	ages and attacular involving the nily members	ched to this for ne family or fam of the parties h Court.
complaintiff. Actual allegations and the family Division Cases  There is no other pending or resolved a members of the parties. An action within the jurisdiction of the fabeen previously filed in	This document must is information that is information that is claim for relief notices of the control of the co	be sealed by  It is required  nust be state  isdiction of the	to be in the capted on additional the family division of the capted on t	ion of every c complaint pa n of circuit co family or fan	ages and attacular involving the nily members	ched to this for ne family or fan of the parties h Court.
COMPLAINT Instruction: The following by the plaintiff. Actual allegations and the samily Division Cases  There is no other pending or resolved as members of the parties.  An action within the jurisdiction of the fabeen previously filed in	This document must is information that is information that is claim for relief in ction within the jure amily division of the pending Judge  Livil action ansing other parties arises.	be sealed by  It is required  nust be state  isdiction of the  ne circuit con  The docker  gout of the sing out of the	to be in the capt ed on additional he family division urt involving the et number and	ion of every control of circuit confidence of circuit confidence of family or family o	urt involving the nily members signed to the sidned as alleged in the alleged in the sidnes.	ched to this forme family or famore fam
COMPLAINT Instruction: The following by the plaintiff. Actual allegations and the family Division Cases  There is no other pending or resolved as members of the parties.  An action within the jurisdiction of the family been previously filed in	This document must is information that is information that is claim for relief in ction within the jure amily division of the pending Judge  Livil action ansing other parties arises.	be sealed by  It is required  nust be state  isdiction of the  ne circuit con  The docker  gout of the sing out of the	to be in the capted on additional the family division of the family	ion of every control of circuit confidence of circuit confidence of family or family o	urt involving the nily members signed to the sidned as alleged in the alleged in the sidnes.	ched to this forme family or famore fam
COMPLAINT Instruction: The following by the plaintiff. Actual allegations and the samily Division Cases  There is no other pending or resolved as members of the parties.  An action within the jurisdiction of the fabeen previously filed in	This document must is information that is information that is information that is information that is information within the juriamily division of the inger pending Judge  Livil action ansing other parties arisinger pending	be sealed by  It is required  nust be state  isdiction of the  ne circuit con  The docker  gout of the sing out of the	to be in the capted on additional the family division of the family	ion of every control of circuit confidence of circuit confidence of family or family o	urt involving the nily members signed to the sidned as alleged in the alleged in the sidnes.	ched to this forme family or famore family or
COMPLAINT Instruction: The following by the plaintiff. Actual allegations and the samily Division Cases  There is no other pending or resolved as members of the parties.  An action within the jurisdiction of the fabeen previously filed in	This document must is information that is information within the juriamily division of the pending Judge  Livil action ansing other parties arisinger pending Judge  Judge	be sealed by It is required inust be state isdiction of the circuit con The docker gout of the sing out of the The docker	to be in the capted on additional the family division of the family	ion of every control of complaint particles of complaint particles of control	urt involving the nily members signed to the signed in the signed to the signed signed at the signed signed at the	ched to this forme family or family or family or family or family of the parties had court.  Bar no.  In the complaine complaine complaint had court.  action are:  Bar no.
COMPLAINT Instruction: The following by the plaintiff. Actual allegations and the samily Division Cases  There is no other pending or resolved as members of the parties.  An action within the jurisdiction of the fabeen previously filed in	This document must is information that is information within the juriamily division of the pending Judge  Livil action ansing other parties arisinger pending Judge  Judge	be sealed by It is required inust be state isdiction of the circuit con The docker gout of the sing out of the The docker	to be in the capt ed on additional he family division urt involving the et number and ame transaction he transaction et number and dant(s) residence	ion of every control of complaint particles of complaint particles of control	urt involving the nily members signed to the signed in the signed to the signed signed at the signed signed at the	ched to this forme family or family or family or family or family of the parties had court.  Bar no.  In the complaine complaine complaint had court.  action are:  Bar no.
COMPLAINT Instruction: The following by the plaintiff. Actual allegations and the samily Division Cases  There is no other pending or resolved at members of the parties.  An action within the jurisdiction of the fabeen previously filed in	This document must is information that is information within the juriamily division of the pending Judge  Livil action ansing other parties arisinger pending Judge  Judge	be sealed by It is required inust be state isdiction of the ne circuit con . The docker gout of the sing out of the . The docker Defen Rosc	to be in the capted on additional the family division are involving the et number and arme transaction the transaction et number and dant(s) residence ommon County,	ion of every concentration of circuit confirmity or family or family or family or family or occurrence or occurrence the judge assistant of occurrence the judge assistant of occurrence occurrence of occurrence of occurrence occurrence occurrence of occurrence oc	urt involving the nily members signed to the signed in the signed to the signed signed at the signed signed at the	ched to this for the family or fam of the parties h Court. action are:  Bar no.  I in the complaint he Court. action are:  Bar no.
COMPLAINT Instruction: The following by the plaintiff. Actual allegations and the samily Division Cases  There is no other pending or resolved at members of the parties.  An action within the jurisdiction of the fabeen previously filed in	This document must is information that is information within the juriamily division of the pending Judge  civil action ansing other parties arisinger pending Judge	be sealed by It is required inust be state isdiction of the ne circuit con The docker gout of the sing out of the The docker  Defen Rosc	to be in the capted on additional the family division the family division the family division the transaction the transaction the transaction the transaction of the	ion of every concentration of circuit confirmity or family or family or family or family or occurrence or occurrence the judge assignment of occurrence the	urt involving the nily members signed to the	ched to this forme family or family or family or family or family or family of the parties have court.  Bar no.  In the complaint have complaint have complaint have court.  Bar no.

roved, SCAO		Original - Court 1st copy - Defendant  SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT			2nd copy - Plaintiff 3rd copy - Return		
STATE OF MICHIGAN JUDICIAL DISTR 34th JUDICIAL CIRC COUNTY PROB	SUIT				case 5722	46	
ddress iii Lake Street, Roscommon, MI 486	553				(080)	Court 275-47	telephone
Plaintiff's name(s), address(es), and tele			Defendant's name	e(s), addres			
David R. Sabin, as Personal Representate of Gregory Lee Richmond, dec	ntative of the	V	Mid Michigan F for, Mid Michig c/o James P. Ra 9249 W. Lake C Houghton Lake	Health Pari gan Health schke, Res City Road	k-Houghton L Services sident Agent		
Plaintiff's attorney, bar no., address, and	telephone no.		Troughton Zame	, 1.11 .002.			
Brian J. McKeen (P34126)		1					
oel A. Sanfield (P42968)							
45 Griswold St., Suite 4200 Detroit, MI 48226			1				••
13-961-4400							
Issued 1.5.15 This	summons expires	Court cler	K CAMOL	15-1 1 5			
This summons is invalid unless served of COMPLAINT Instruction: The for the plaintiff. Actual allegations	This docume	ent must be sealed by tion that is require	d to be in the capt	irt. <b>tion of eve</b>	ry complaint:	and is to	be comple
COMPLAINT Instruction: The form of the plaintiff. Actual allegations family Division Cases  There is no other pending or resonant members of the parties.  An action within the jurisdiction	This docume allowing is informate and the claim for olved action within	ent must be sealed by tion that is required relief must be sta in the jurisdiction of	y the seal of the cou d to be in the capt ted on additional the family divisio	irt. iion of eve il complain on of circuit	ry complaints at pages and t court involvi	and is to attached	be comple of to this for amily or fan
COMPLAINT Instruction: The form of the plaintiff. Actual allegations family Division Cases  There is no other pending or resonant members of the parties.  An action within the jurisdiction been previously filed in	This document of the family divis	ent must be sealed by tion that is required relief must be sta in the jurisdiction of	y the seal of the cou d to be in the capt ted on additional the family divisio ourt involving the	irt.  i complain  on of circuit  family or	ry complaints at pages and at court involvi	and is to attached ng the fa	be completed to this for armily or farmine parties h
COMPLAINT Instruction: The form of the plaintiff. Actual allegations family Division Cases  There is no other pending or resonant members of the parties.  An action within the jurisdiction been previously filed in	This document of the family divis	ent must be sealed by tion that is require relief must be stand the jurisdiction of ion of the circuit co	y the seal of the cou d to be in the capt ted on additional the family divisio ourt involving the	irt.  i complain  on of circuit  family or	ry complaints at pages and at court involvi	and is to attached ng the fa	be completed to this for amily or famile parties h Court.
COMPLAINT Instruction: The form of the plaintiff. Actual allegations family Division Cases  There is no other pending or resonant members of the parties.  An action within the jurisdiction been previously filed in	This docume and the claim for olved action withir of the family divis s no longer p	ent must be sealed by  tion that is require relief must be sta  the jurisdiction of ion of the circuit co ending. The doci  Judge  arising out of the ties arising out of ending. The doci	the seal of the count to be in the captited on additional the family division burt involving the ket number and the same transaction the transaction of	int.  ion of ever complaint on of circuit family or the judge	ry complaints at pages and at court involvi family memb assigned to	and is to attached ing the fa ers of the the action	be completed to this formula or parties in a complaint in a complaint in a court. The co
COMPLAINT Instruction: The force by the plaintiff. Actual allegations family Division Cases  There is no other pending or resonant members of the parties.  An action within the jurisdiction been previously filed in	This docume and the claim for olved action withir of the family divis s no longer p	ent must be sealed by  tion that is require relief must be sta  the jurisdiction of ion of the circuit co ending. The doci  Judge  arising out of the ties arising out of	the seal of the count to be in the captited on additional the family division burt involving the ket number and the same transaction the transaction of	int.  ion of ever complaint on of circuit family or the judge	ry complaints at pages and at court involvi family memb assigned to	and is to attached ing the fa ers of the the action	be completed to this formally or farmine parties in Court. On are:  Bar no.  he complain omplaint in Court.
COMPLAINT Instruction: The form of the plaintiff. Actual allegations family Division Cases  There is no other pending or resonant members of the parties.  An action within the jurisdiction been previously filed in	This docume and the claim for olved action withir of the family divis s no longer p	ent must be sealed by  tion that is require relief must be sta  the jurisdiction of ion of the circuit co ending. The doci  Judge  arising out of the ties arising out of ending. The doci	the seal of the count to be in the captited on additional the family division burt involving the ket number and the same transaction the transaction of	int.  ion of ever complaint on of circuit family or the judge	ry complaints at pages and at court involvi family memb assigned to	and is to attached ing the fa ers of the the action	be completed to this for amily or famile parties inCourt. on are:  Bar no.  he complain omplaint heCourt. on are:
COMPLAINT Instruction: The for by the plaintiff. Actual allegations family Division Cases  There is no other pending or resemblers of the parties.  An action within the jurisdiction been previously filed in the action remains in proceed in the action in the action remains in the action in the ac	This docume and the claim for colved action within of the family division in the family division arties or other parties on longer processing and longer processing and longer processing action arties or other parties are longer processing and longer processing action arties or other parties are longer processing action arties or other parties are longer processing actions.	ent must be sealed by tion that is require relief must be sta In the jurisdiction of ion of the circuit co ending. The doci Judge  arising out of the ties arising out of ending. The doci	the seal of the count to be in the captited on additional the family division burt involving the ket number and the same transaction the transaction of	int.  ition of ever it complaint on of circuit of amily or the judge  n or occurre the judge	ry complaints at pages and at court involvi family memb assigned to  rence as alle ence alleged assigned to	and is to attached ing the fa ers of the the action	be completed to this formally or farmine parties in a Court. On are:  Bar no.  he complain omplaint in a Court. On are:
COMPLAINT Instruction: The for the plaintiff. Actual allegations family Division Cases  There is no other pending or resonance members of the parties.  An action within the jurisdiction been previously filed in	This docume and the claim for colved action within of the family divises no longer processory of the parties or other parties or other parties and longer processory of the parties or other part	ent must be sealed by tion that is require relief must be sta In the jurisdiction of ion of the circuit co ending. The doci Judge  arising out of the ties arising out of ending. The doci	the seal of the count to be in the captified on additional the family division burt involving the ket number and the transaction the transaction (ket number and the transaction (ket number a	int.  ition of ever it complaint on of circuit of amily or the judge  n or occurre the judge	ry complaints at pages and at court involvi family memb assigned to  rence as alle ence alleged assigned to	and is to attached ing the fa ers of the the action	be completed to this for amily or famile parties inCourt. on are:  Bar no.  he complain omplaint heCourt. on are:
COMPLAINT Instruction: The form of the plaintiff. Actual allegations family Division Cases  There is no other pending or resemblers of the parties.  An action within the jurisdiction been previously filed in	This docume and the claim for colved action within of the family divises no longer processory of the parties or other parties or other parties and longer processory of the parties or other part	ent must be sealed by tion that is require relief must be sta In the jurisdiction of ion of the circuit co ending. The doci Judge  arising out of the ties arising out of ending. The doci	the seal of the count to be in the captified on additional the family division burt involving the ket number and the transaction the transaction (ket number and the transaction (ket number a	int.  ition of ever it complaint on of circuit of amily or the judge  n or occurre the judge	ry complaints at pages and at court involvi family memb assigned to  rence as alle ence alleged assigned to	and is to attached ing the fa ers of the the action	be completed to this for amily or famile parties inCourt. on are:  Bar no.  he complain omplaint heCourt. on are:

MC 01 (3/08) SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT MCR 2.102(B)(11), MCR 2.104, MCR 2.105, MCR 2.107, MCR 2.113(C)(2)(a), (b), MCR 3.206(A)

JUDICIAL DISTRICT  34th JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  COUNTY PROBATE	SUMMONS AND	COMPLAINT	15- 15	15- 15722469		
Court address		,		Court telephone no		
500 Lake Street, Roscommon, MI 48653				(989) 275-4776		
Plaintiffs name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s).		Defendant's nam	ne(s), address(e	s), and telephone no(s).		
David R. Sabin, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Gregory Lee Richmond, deceased	V	Mid Michigan for, Mid Michig c/o James P. Ra 9249 W. Lake ( Houghton Lake	gan Health Se aschke, Reside City Road			
Plaintiff's attorney, bar no., address, and telephone no.						
Brian J. McKeen (P34126) Joel A. Sanfield (P42968) 645 Griswold St., Suite 4200						

SUMMONS NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT: In the name of the people of the State of Michigan you are notified: 1. You are being sued.

2. YOU HAVE 21 DAYS after receiving this summons to file a written answer with the court and serve a copy on the other party or take other lawful action with the court (28 days if you were served by mail or you were served outside this state). (MCR2.111[C])

3. If you do not answer or take other action within the time allowed, judgment may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Issued Le	5.15	This summons expires	15	Court clerk	S/MICHE	LLEN	I. STEVEN	ISON
*This summons	s is invalid unless se	rved on or before its ex					•.	
		This doc	ument must b	e sealed by th	e seal of the court.			
COMPLAIN	T Instruction: T	he following is infon	mation that	is required to	be in the caption	of every co	mplaint and is to	be completed
by the plaint	iff. Actual allega	tions and the claim	for relief m	ust be stated	d on additional co	omplaint pa	ges and attached	d to this form.
<b>Family Divis</b>	on Cases				*	٠.		
☐ There is n	oother pending o	r resolved action wit	thin the juris	diction of the	e family division o	of circuit cou	rt involving the fa	amily or family
members	of the parties.							· · · ·
☐ An action	within the jurisdic	ction of the family di	vision of the	e circuit cour	t involving the fa	mily or fami	ly members of th	ne parties has
been prev	riously filed in							Court.
The action	☐ remains	is no longer	pending.	The docket	number and the	e judge ass	gned to the acti	on are:
Docket no.			Judge					Bar no.
					•			
General Civi								
/ There is n	o other pending	or resolved civil acti	on ansing	out of the sa	me transaction of	or occurrence	ce as alleged in t	ne complaint.
		se parties or other p	parties arisi	ng out of the	e transaction or	occurrence	alleged in the c	
	iously filed in			The dealer				_Court.
The action	☐ remains	is no longer	penaing.	The docker	number and the	e juage ass	igned to the acti	on are:
Docket no.			Judge					Bar no.
			•					
\					:			
VENUE								
1		township, or village)			ant(s) residence (inc		nship, or village)	
Roscommor	County, MI			Rosco	mmon County, M	I		
	ction arose or busine	ess conducted						
Roscommon	County, MI	,.	. % .			. /\ //		

Signature of attorney pl If you require special accommodations to use the court because of a disability of if you require a foreign language interpreter to help you fully participate in court proceedings, please contact the court immediately to make arrangements.

26/05/2015

0811

Case 1:16-cv-10196-TLL-PTM ECF No. 1 filed 01/21/16 PageID.12 Page 12 of 53

#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

#### IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF ROSCOMMON

DAVID R. SABIN, as Personal Representative of the Estate of GREGORY LEE RICHMOND, deceased,

Plaintiff,

15722469

No. 15-Hon.

-NH

ST. HELEN PRIMARY CARE/McLAREN BAY REGION, d/b/a BAY REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER, MID MICHIGAN HEALTH PARK-HOUGHTON LAKE, d/b/a MID MICHIGAN HEALTH SERVICES, JAMES BASH, D.O., THEODORE

BASH, D.O., WAYNE WAHL, M.D., MARY

BURKETT, N.P., KEVIN DENLINGER, D.O., and DR. JOHN/JANE DOE [physician who examined

and treated Mr. Richmond on or around February 9, 2011], Jointly & Severally,

VS.

₹

**Suite 4200** 

Griswold Street,

McKeen & Associates, P.C.

Defendants.

BRIAN J. McKEEN (P34123) **JOEL A. SANFIELD (P42968)** McKEEN & ASSOCIATES, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff 645 Griswold St., Suite 4200 Detroit, MI 48226 (313) 961-4400

#### PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL,

There is no other civil action pending, or previously filed and dismissed, transferred, or otherwise disposed of arising out of the transaction or occurrence alleged in the complaint.

J McKEEN (P34123)

NOW COMES Plaintiff, David R. Sabin, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Gregory Lee Richmond, deceased, by and through his attorneys, McKeen & Associates, P.C., and for his Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial hereby states the following:

- 1. The amount in controversy exceeds Twenty-Five Thousand (\$25,000.00) Dollars, excluding costs, interest and attorney fees and is otherwise within the jurisdiction of this court.
  - 2. The cause of action arose in the County of Roscommon, State of Michigan.
- That Plaintiff, David R. Sabin, and the deceased, Gregory Lee Richmond, were at all times relevant residents of the County of Crawford, State of Michigan.
- 4. Defendant James Bash, D.O. was at all times relevant hereto a licensed and practicing physician, board certified and specializing in Family Medicine, conducting business in the County of Roscommon, State of Michigan.
- Defendant Theodore Bash, D.O. was at all times relevant hereto a licensed and practicing physician, board certified and specializing in Family Medicine, conducting business in the County of Roscommon, State of Michigan.
- 6. Defendant Wayne Wahl, M.D. was at all times relevant hereto a licensed and practicing physician, board certified and specializing in Family Medicine, conducting business in the County of Roscommon, State of Michigan.
- Defendant Mary Burkett, N.P. was at all times relevant hereto a licensed Nurse
   Practitioner, conducting business in the County of Roscommon, State of Michigan.
- 8. Defendant Dr. John/Jane Doe was at all times relevant hereto a licensed and practicing physician, conducting business in the County of Roscommon, State of Michigan.
- 9. Defendant Kevin Denlinger, D.O. was at all times relevant hereto a licensed and practicing physician, board certified and specializing in Family Medicine, conducting business in the County of Roscommon, State of Michigan.
- 10. Defendant Primary Care St. Helen/McLaren Bay Region, d/b/a Bay Regional Medical Center was at all times relevant hereto a health institution conducting business in the Counties of Roscommon and Bay, State of Michigan.
- 11. Defendant Mid-Michigan Health Park-Houghton Lake, d/b/a Mid-Michigan Health Services, was at all times relevant hereto a health institution conducting business in the County of Roscommon, State of Michigan.

- 12. Gregory Richmond, a 46 year old man, presented to Primary Care St. Helen/McLaren Bay Region, assumed name for, Bay Regional Medical Center (hereafter referred to as Primary Care St. Helen), on or around February 9, 2011. Mr. Richmond had a medical history including high cholesterol, kidney stones, and anxiety. In addition to his medical history, his mother and brother died from MI [myocardial infarction/heart attack]. He came home early that day due to severe pain shooting through his back and down his left arm.
- at Primary Care St. Helen on or around February 9, 2011 by the following Defendants: James Bash, D.O. and/or Theodore Bash D.O., and/or Wayne Wahl, M.D., and/or Mary Burkett, N.P., and/or Dr. John/Jane Doe [physician who examined and treated Mr. Richmond on or around February 9, 2011]. The aforementioned Defendants were informed and aware of Mr. Richmond's severe back and left arm pain, as well as his hypercholesterolemia, and family history of heart attack. Despite this, the Defendants did not perform a thorough cardiac workup and/or transfer Mr. Richmond to the hospital for further investigation and treatment. Mr. Richmond underwent only one EKG, which was inconclusive and non-diagnostic for angina or early heart attack.
- 14. The Defendants misdiagnosed Mr. Gregory with muscle spasms, gave him a prescription for muscle relaxers, and sent him home.
- 15. In light of the severe pain shooting through his back and down his left arm (classic heart attack and/or angina symptoms), Mr. Richmond required a thorough workup, including but not necessarily limited to; stress testing, serial EKGs, lab work including cardiac enzymes such as CPK, CPK-MB, and Myoglobin, and transfer to the hospital for ongoing cardiac monitoring, observation and/or cardiac catheterization.
- 16. Over the following few weeks, Gregory Richmond suffered several additional episodes of back and left arm pain. Since he was told by the Defendants at Primary Care St. Helen, believed to include James Bash, D.O., and/or Theodore Bash D.O., and/or Wayne Wahl, M.D., and/or Mary Burkett, N.P., and/or Dr. John/Jane Doe [physician who examined and treated Mr. Richmond on or around February 9, 2011], that the pain was muscular, he took his

muscle relaxers as prescribed and did not suspect that his pain may have had a cardiogenic source.

- 17. On February 26, 2011, Mr. Richmond sat down on his couch at home and lost consciousness. A family member discovered him, called 911, and performed CPR. EMS arrived at his home at or about 10:41 P.M. According to the EMS run sheet, Mr. Richmond was in asystole, cyanotic, and unresponsive. He remained in asystole despite CPR and ACLS protocol. Mr. Richmond was transported to West Branch Hospital via EMS, where he was pronounced dead on arrival. The cause of death, as stated on the death certificate, was acute myocardial infarct.
- 18. Based on information currently available, and belief, Gregory Richmond was under the primary care of Kevin Denlinger, D.O. at Mid-Michigan Health Park-Houghton Lake, assumed name for Mid-Michigan Health Services.
- 19. Over the preceding months and years before Mr. Richmond's heart attack, Dr. Denlinger failed to provide a thorough cardiac workup and preventive care, including but not necessarily limited to; regular EKGs, cholesterol monitoring and treatment to maintain a healthy level, stress testing, a cardiac ECHO, and timely catheterization and/or stenting and/or coronary artery bypass grafting for coronary artery disease. As a result, Mr. Richmond's cardiac compromise went undiagnosed and untreated, resulting in heart attack and tragic death on February 26, 2011.
- 20. Due to the unavailability of additional, more specific information in the partially illegible, and presumably incomplete medical records provided, it is not possible to otherwise recite what occurred in this situation beyond the statements already made. To the extent that the prospective Defendants have, or know of, pertinent information, claimant hereby demands copies of all records, documents, or a written memorandum reflecting that information. Failure to supply it will otherwise be considered intentional withholding of evidence.

#### COUNT I: MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE OF JAMES BASH, D.O.

- 21. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of Plaintiff's Complaint as though fully incorporated herein.
- 22. Defendant James Bash, D.O. was negligent, inter alia, in the following particulars, in that a licensed and practicing physician, board certified and specializing in Family Medicine, when presented with a patient exhibiting the history, signs and symptoms such as those demonstrated by Gregory Lee Richmond, had a duty to timely and properly:
  - a. Exercising skill and caution when examining and treating Mr. Richmond when he presented on or around February 9, 2011 with back and left arm pain, by considering and working him up for a cardiac etiology. The appropriate workup includes, but is not necessarily limited to: stress testing, serial EKGs, and lab work including cardiac enzymes such as CPK, CPK-MB, and Myoglobin.
  - b. Timely diagnosing and treating the cardiac etiology (angina, coronary artery disease, and/or evolving myocardial infarction) of Mr. Richmond's back and left arm pain on or around February 9, 2011, including but not necessarily limited to; transferring him to the hospital for ongoing cardiac monitoring, serial cardiac enzymes and EKGs, and cardiac catheterization.
  - c. Timely re-vascularizing Mr. Richmond's myocardium via cardiac catheterization and stenting, or coronary artery bypass grafting on or around February 9, 2011.
  - d. Refrain from sending Mr. Richmond home on February 9, 2011 with symptoms of cardiac compromise, angina, and/or evolving myocardial infarction.
  - e. Inform Mr. Richmond that he might be having symptoms of a heart attack and the associated risks.

- 23. Defendant James Bash, D.O. did none of these things, and such acts or omissions constitute professional negligence for which Defendant James Bash, D.O. is directly liable to Plaintiff.
- 24. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant James Bash, D.O. was an employee, agent, servant, or ostensible agent of Defendant Primary Care St. Helen/McLaren Bay Region, d/b/a Bay Regional Medical Center; therefore, Defendant Primary Care St. Helen/McLaren Bay Region, d/b/a Bay Regional Medical Center, is vicariously liable for the negligence of Defendant James Bash, D.O. pursuant to the Doctrine of Respondeat Superior and ostensible agency.
- 25. That as the direct and proximate result of one or more of the violations of the standards of practice as hereinbefore alleged, including but not necessarily limited to; the failure to timely diagnose and treat the cardiac etiology of Gregory Richmond's back and left arm pain (including angina, coronary artery disease, myocardial ischemia, and evolving heart attack) at the time of clinic presentation on or around February 9, 2011, Mr. Richmond suffered continued myocardial death and fatal heart attack on February 26, 2011.
- 26. Were it not for the aforementioned breaches in the standards of practice, more likely than not, Gregory Richmond would not have suffered the cardiac arrest on February 26, 2011 resulting in death.
- 27. As a consequence of the Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff further claims all elements of damages permitted under Michigan's statutory and common law, whether known now or whether becoming known during the pendency of this case.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff hereby requests an award of damages against the Defendants herein, jointly and severally, in whatever amount above Twenty-Five Thousand [\$25,000.00] dollars that Plaintiff is found to be entitled to, together with costs, interest and attorneys fees, as well as all other damages allowed under Michigan Law.

#### COUNT II: MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE OF THEODORE BASH, D.O.

- 28. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of Plaintiff's Complaint as though fully incorporated herein.
- 29. Defendant Theodore Bash, D.O. was negligent, inter alia, in the following particulars, in that a licensed and practicing physician, board certified and specializing in Family Medicine, when presented with a patient exhibiting the history, signs and symptoms such as those demonstrated by Gregory Lee Richmond, had a duty to timely and properly:
  - a. Exercising skill and caution when examining and treating Mr. Richmond when he presented on or around February 9, 2011 with back and left arm pain, by considering and working him up for a cardiac etiology. The appropriate workup includes, but is not necessarily limited to: stress testing, serial EKGs, and lab work including cardiac enzymes such as CPK, CPK-MB, and Myoglobin.
  - b. Timely diagnosing and treating the cardiac etiology (angina, coronary artery disease, and/or evolving myocardial infarction) of Mr. Richmond's back and left arm pain on or around February 9, 2011, including but not necessarily limited to; transferring him to the hospital for ongoing cardiac monitoring, serial cardiac enzymes and EKGs, and cardiac catheterization.
  - c. Timely re-vascularizing Mr. Richmond's myocardium via cardiac catheterization and stenting, or coronary artery bypass grafting on or around February 9, 2011.
  - d. Refrain from sending Mr. Richmond home on February 9, 2011 with symptoms of cardiac compromise, angina, and/or evolving myocardial infarction.
  - e. Inform Mr. Richmond that he might be having a heart attack and the associated risks.

- Defendant Theodore Bash, D.O. did none of these things, and such acts or 30. omissions constitute professional negligence for which Defendant Theodore Bash, D.O. is directly liable to Plaintiff.
- At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Theodore Bash, D.O. was an employee, 31. agent, servant, or ostensible agent of Defendant Primary Care St. Helen/McLaren Bay Region, d/b/a Bay Regional Medical Center; therefore, Defendant Primary Care St. Helen/McLaren Bay Region, d/b/a Bay Regional Medical Center, is vicariously liable for the negligence of Defendant Theodore Bash, D.O. pursuant to the Doctrine of Respondeat Superior and ostensible agency.
- That as the direct and proximate result of one or more of the violations of the 32. standards of practice as hereinbefore alleged, including but not necessarily limited to; the failure to timely diagnose and treat the cardiac etiology of Gregory Richmond's back and left arm pain (including angina, coronary artery disease, myocardial ischemia, and evolving heart attack) at the time of clinic presentation on or around February 9, 2011, Mr. Richmond suffered continued myocardial death and fatal heart attack on February 26, 2011.
- 33. Were it not for the aforementioned breaches in the standards of practice, more likely than not, Gregory Richmond would not have suffered the cardiac arrest on February 26, 2011 resulting in death.
- As a consequence of the Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff further claims all 34. elements of damages permitted under Michigan's statutory and common law, whether known now or whether becoming known during the pendency of this case.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff hereby requests an award of damages against the Defendants herein, jointly and severally, in whatever amount above Twenty-Five Thousand [\$25,000.00] dollars that Plaintiff is found to be entitled to, together with costs, interest and attorneys fees, as well as all other damages allowed under Michigan Law.

#### COUNT III: MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE OF WAYNE WAHL, M.D.

- 35. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of Plaintiff's Complaint as though fully incorporated herein.
- 36. Defendant Wayne Wahl, M.D. was negligent, inter alia, in the following particulars, in that a licensed and practicing physician, board certified and specializing in Family Medicine, when presented with a patient exhibiting the history, signs and symptoms such as those demonstrated by Gregory Lee Richmond, had a duty to timely and properly:
  - a. Exercising skill and caution when examining and treating Mr. Richmond when he presented on or around February 9, 2011 with back and left arm pain, by considering and working him up for a cardiac etiology. The appropriate workup includes, but is not necessarily limited to: stress testing, serial EKGs, and lab work including cardiac enzymes such as CPK, CPK-MB, and Myoglobin.
  - b. Timely diagnosing and treating the cardiac etiology (angina, coronary artery disease, and/or evolving myocardial infarction) of Mr. Richmond's back and left arm pain on or around February 9, 2011, including but not necessarily limited to; transferring him to the hospital for ongoing cardiac monitoring, serial cardiac enzymes and EKGs, and cardiac catheterization.
  - c. Timely re-vascularizing Mr. Richmond's myocardium via cardiac catheterization and stenting, or coronary artery bypass grafting on or around February 9, 2011.
  - d. Refrain from sending Mr. Richmond home on February 9, 2011 with symptoms of cardiac compromise, angina, and/or evolving myocardial infarction.
  - e. Inform Mr. Richmond that he might be having a heart attack and the associated risks.

4

- 37. Defendant Wayne Wahl, M.D. did none of these things, and such acts or omissions constitute professional negligence for which Defendant Wayne Wahl, M.D. is directly liable to Plaintiff.
- 38. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Wayne Wahl, M.D. was an employee, agent, servant, or ostensible agent of Defendant Primary Care St. Helen/McLaren Bay Region, d/b/a Bay Regional Medical Center; therefore, Defendant Primary Care St. Helen/McLaren Bay Region, d/b/a Bay Regional Medical Center, is vicariously liable for the negligence of Defendant Wayne Wahl, M.D. pursuant to the Doctrine of Respondeat Superior and ostensible agency.
- 39. That as the direct and proximate result of one or more of the violations of the standards of practice as hereinbefore alleged, including but not necessarily limited to; the failure to timely diagnose and treat the cardiac etiology of Gregory Richmond's back and left arm pain (including angina, coronary artery disease, myocardial ischemia, and evolving heart attack) at the time of clinic presentation on or around February 9, 2011, Mr. Richmond suffered continued myocardial death and fatal heart attack on February 26, 2011.
- 40. Were it not for the aforementioned breaches in the standards of practice, more likely than not, Gregory Richmond would not have suffered the cardiac arrest on February 26, 2011 resulting in death.
- 41. As a consequence of the Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff further claims all elements of damages permitted under Michigan's statutory and common law, whether known now or whether becoming known during the pendency of this case.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff hereby requests an award of damages against the Defendants herein, jointly and severally, in whatever amount above Twenty-Five Thousand [\$25,000.00] dollars that Plaintiff is found to be entitled to, together with costs, interest and attorneys fees, as well as all other damages allowed under Michigan Law.

#### COUNT IV: MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE OF MARY BURKETT, N.P.

- 42. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of Plaintiff's Complaint as though fully incorporated herein.
- 43. Defendant Mary Burkett, N.P. was negligent, inter alia, in the following particulars, in that a licensed Nurse Practitioner, when presented with a patient exhibiting the history, signs and symptoms such as those demonstrated by Gregory Lee Richmond, had a duty to timely and properly:
  - a. Exercising skill and caution when examining and treating Mr. Richmond when he presented on or around February 9, 2011 with back and left arm pain, by considering and working him up for a cardiac etiology. The appropriate workup includes, but is not necessarily limited to: stress testing, serial EKGs, and lab work including cardiac enzymes such as CPK, CPK-MB, and Myoglobin.
  - b. Timely diagnosing and treating the cardiac etiology (angina, coronary artery disease, and/or evolving myocardial infarction) of Mr. Richmond's back and left arm pain on or around February 9, 2011, including but not necessarily limited to; transferring him to the hospital for ongoing cardiac monitoring, serial cardiac enzymes and EKGs, and cardiac catheterization.
  - c. Timely re-vascularizing Mr. Richmond's myocardium via cardiac catheterization and stenting, or coronary artery bypass grafting on or around February 9, 2011.
  - d. Refrain from sending Mr. Richmond home on February 9, 2011 with symptoms of cardiac compromise, angina, and/or evolving myocardial infarction.
  - e. Inform Mr. Richmond that he might be having a heart attack and the associated risks.

-3

- 44. Defendant Mary Burkett, N.P. did none of these things, and such acts or omissions constitute professional negligence for which Defendant Mary Burkett, N.P. is directly liable to Plaintiff.
- 45. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Mary Burkett, N.P. was an employee, agent, servant, or ostensible agent of Defendant Primary Care St. Helen/McLaren Bay Region, d/b/a Bay Regional Medical Center; therefore, Defendant Primary Care St. Helen/McLaren Bay Region, d/b/a Bay Regional Medical Center, is vicariously liable for the negligence of Defendant Mary Burkett, N.P. pursuant to the Doctrine of Respondent Superior and ostensible agency.
- 46. That as the direct and proximate result of one or more of the violations of the standards of practice as hereinbefore alleged, including but not necessarily limited to; the failure to timely diagnose and treat the cardiac etiology of Gregory Richmond's back and left arm pain (including angina, coronary artery disease, myocardial ischemia, and evolving heart attack) at the time of clinic presentation on or around February 9, 2011, Mr. Richmond suffered continued myocardial death and fatal heart attack on February 26, 2011.
- 47. Were it not for the aforementioned breaches in the standards of practice, more likely than not, Gregory Richmond would not have suffered the cardiac arrest on February 26, 2011 resulting in death.
- 48. As a consequence of the Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff further claims all elements of damages permitted under Michigan's statutory and common law, whether known now or whether becoming known during the pendency of this case.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff hereby requests an award of damages against the Defendants herein, jointly and severally, in whatever amount above Twenty-Five Thousand [\$25,000.00] dollars that Plaintiff is found to be entitled to, together with costs, interest and attorneys fees, as well as all other damages allowed under Michigan Law.

## COUNT V: MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE OF DR. JOHN/JANE DOE [PHYSICIAN WHO EXAMINED AND TREATED MR. RICHMOND ON OR AROUND FEBRUARY 9, 2011]

- 49. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of Plaintiff's Complaint as though fully incorporated herein.
- 50. Defendant Dr. John/Jane Doe [physician who examined and treated Mr. Richmond on or around February 9, 2011], was negligent, inter alia, in the following particulars, in that a licensed and practicing physician, board certified and specializing in Family Medicine, when presented with a patient exhibiting the history, signs and symptoms such as those demonstrated by Gregory Lee Richmond, had a duty to timely and properly:
  - a. Exercising skill and caution when examining and treating Mr. Richmond when he presented on or around February 9, 2011 with back and left arm pain, by considering and working him up for a cardiac etiology. The appropriate workup includes, but is not necessarily limited to: stress testing, serial EKGs, and lab work including cardiac enzymes such as CPK, CPK-MB, and Myoglobin.
  - b. Timely diagnosing and treating the cardiac etiology (angina, coronary artery disease, and/or evolving myocardial infarction) of Mr. Richmond's back and left arm pain on or around February 9, 2011, including but not necessarily limited to; transferring him to the hospital for ongoing cardiac monitoring, serial cardiac enzymes and EKGs, and cardiac catheterization.
  - c. Timely re-vascularizing Mr. Richmond's myocardium via cardiac catheterization and stenting, or coronary artery bypass grafting on or around February 9, 2011.
  - d. Refrain from sending Mr. Richmond home on February 9, 2011 with symptoms of cardiac compromise, angina, and/or evolving myocardial infarction.
  - e. Inform Mr. Richmond that he might be having a heart attack and the associated risks.

- 51. Defendant Dr. John/Jane Doe [physician who examined and treated Mr. Richmond on or around February 9, 2011] did none of these things, and such acts or omissions constitute professional negligence for which Defendant Dr. John/Jane Doe [physician who examined and treated Mr. Richmond on or around February 9, 2011] is directly liable to Plaintiff.
- 52. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Dr. John/Jane Doe [physician who examined and treated Mr. Richmond on or around February 9, 2011] was an employee, agent, servant, or ostensible agent of Defendant Primary Care St. Helen/McLaren Bay Region, d/b/a Bay Regional Medical Center; therefore, Defendant Primary Care St. Helen/McLaren Bay Region, d/b/a Bay Regional Medical Center, is vicariously liable for the negligence of Defendant Dr. John/Jane Doe [physician who examined and treated Mr. Richmond on or around February 9, 2011] pursuant to the Doctrine of Respondeat Superior and ostensible agency.
- 53. That as the direct and proximate result of one or more of the violations of the standards of practice as hereinbefore alleged, including but not necessarily limited to; the failure to timely diagnose and treat the cardiac etiology of Gregory Richmond's back and left arm pain (including angina, coronary artery disease, myocardial ischemia, and evolving heart attack) at the time of clinic presentation on or around February 9, 2011, Mr. Richmond suffered continued myocardial death and fatal heart attack on February 26, 2011.
- 54. Were it not for the aforementioned breaches in the standards of practice, more likely than not, Gregory Richmond would not have suffered the cardiac arrest on February 26, 2011 resulting in death.
- 55. As a consequence of the Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff further claims all elements of damages permitted under Michigan's statutory and common law, whether known now or whether becoming known during the pendency of this case.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff hereby requests an award of damages against the Defendants herein, jointly and severally, in whatever amount above Twenty-Five Thousand [\$25,000.00] dollars that Plaintiff is found to be entitled to, together with costs, interest and attorneys fees, as well as all other damages allowed under Michigan Law.

#### COUNT VI: MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE OF KEVIN DENLINGER, D.O.

- 56. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of Plaintiff's Complaint as though fully incorporated herein.
- 57. Defendant Kevin Denlinger, D.O. was negligent, inter alia, in the following particulars, in that a licensed and practicing physician, board certified and specializing in Family Medicine, when presented with a patient exhibiting the history, signs and symptoms such as those demonstrated by Gregory Lee Richmond, had a duty to timely and properly:
  - a. Competently managing Mr. Richmond's cardiac care needs and risk factors and providing standard preventative care during the years and months preceding his cardiac arrest and death in February 2011, including but not necessarily limited to; obtaining thorough cardiac workups, regular EKGs, stress testing, cardiac ECHO, and timely catheterization and/or stenting and/or coronary artery bypass grafting to treat coronary artery disease.
  - b. Maintaining healthy cholesterol and triglyceride levels by educating Mr. Richmond on nutritional modifications, ordering and following up on regular laboratory studies including lipid levels, and treating with statins or other cholesterol lowering medications.
  - c. Adequately informing Mr. Richmond of his cardiac risk factors and the significance of same to his overall health and life expectancy
  - d. Adequately inform Mr. Richmond of any necessary risk modification behaviors that might reduce his cardiac risk factors.
- 58. Defendant Kevin Denlinger, D.O. did none of these things, and such acts or omissions constitute professional negligence for which Defendant Kevin Denlinger, D.O. is directly liable to Plaintiff.
- 59. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Kevin Denlinger, D.O. was an employee, agent, servant, or ostensible agent of Defendant Mid-Michigan Health Park-Houghton Lake,

d/b/a Mid-Michigan Health Services; therefore, Defendant Mid-Michigan Health Park-Houghton Lake, d/b/a Mid-Michigan Health Services, is vicariously liable for the negligence of Defendant Kevin Denlinger, D.O. pursuant to the Doctrine of Respondent Superior and ostensible agency.

- 60. That as the direct and proximate result of one or more of the violations of the standards of practice as hereinbefore alleged, including but not necessarily limited to; the failure to timely diagnose and treat the cardiac etiology of Gregory Richmond's back and left arm pain (including angina, coronary artery disease, myocardial ischemia, and evolving heart attack) at the time of clinic presentation on or around February 9, 2011, Mr. Richmond suffered continued myocardial death and fatal heart attack on February 26, 2011.
- 61. Were it not for the aforementioned breaches in the standards of practice, more likely than not, Gregory Richmond would not have suffered the cardiac arrest on February 26, 2011 resulting in death.
- 62. As a consequence of the Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff further claims all elements of damages permitted under Michigan's statutory and common law, whether known now or whether becoming known during the pendency of this case.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff hereby requests an award of damages against the Defendants herein, jointly and severally, in whatever amount above Twenty-Five Thousand [\$25,000.00] dollars that Plaintiff is found to be entitled to, together with costs, interest and attorneys fees, as well as all other damages allowed under Michigan Law.

### COUNT VII: MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE OF ST. HELEN PRIMARY CARE/MCLAREN BAY REGION, d/b/a/ BAY REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER

- 63. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of Plaintiff's Complaint as though fully incorporated herein.
- 64. Defendant St. Helen Primary Care/McLaren Bay Region, d/b/a Bay Regional Medical Center, was negligent, inter alia, in the following particulars, in that a licensed and accredited health care facility, through its agents, actual, and/or ostensible, servants, and/or employees, including, but not limited to, James Bash, D.O., Theodore Bash, D.O., Wayne Wahl,

M.D., Mary Burkett, N.P., and Dr. John/Jane Doe [physician who examined and treated Mr. Richmond on or around February 9, 2011], when presented with a patient exhibiting the history, signs and symptoms such as those demonstrated by Gregory Lee Richmond, had a duty to:

- a. Select, employ, train and monitor its agents, actual and/or ostensible, servants, employees and/or its staff of physicians, nurses and residents, to ensure they were competent to perform adequate medical care for a patient;
- b. Ensure that appropriate policies and procedures are adopted and followed;
- c. Exercising skill and caution when examining and treating Mr. Richmond when he presented on or around February 9, 2011 with back and left arm pain, by considering and working him up for a cardiac etiology. The appropriate workup includes, but is not necessarily limited to: stress testing, serial EKGs, and lab work including cardiac enzymes such as CPK, CPK-MB, and Myoglobin.
- d. Timely diagnosing and treating the cardiac etiology (angina, coronary artery disease, and/or evolving myocardial infarction) of Mr. Richmond's back and left arm pain on or around February 9, 2011, including but not necessarily limited to; transferring him to the hospital for ongoing cardiac monitoring, serial cardiac enzymes and EKGs, and cardiac catheterization.
- e. Timely re-vascularizing Mr. Richmond's myocardium via cardiac catheterization and stenting, or coronary artery bypass grafting on or around February 9, 2011.
- f. Refrain from sending Mr. Richmond home on February 9, 2011 with symptoms of cardiac compromise, angina, and/or evolving myocardial infarction.
- 65. That as the direct and proximate result of one or more of the violations of the standards of practice as hereinbefore alleged, including but not necessarily limited to; the failure

to timely diagnose and treat the cardiac etiology of Gregory Richmond's back and left arm pain (including angina, coronary artery disease, myocardial ischemia, and evolving heart attack) at the time of clinic presentation on or around February 9, 2011, Mr. Richmond suffered continued myocardial death and fatal heart attack on February 26, 2011.

- 66. Were it not for the aforementioned breaches in the standards of practice, more likely than not, Gregory Richmond would not have suffered the cardiac arrest on February 26, 2011 resulting in death.
- 67. As a consequence of the Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff further claims all elements of damages permitted under Michigan's statutory and common law, whether known now or whether becoming known during the pendency of this case.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff hereby requests an award of damages against the Defendants herein, jointly and severally, in whatever amount above Twenty-Five Thousand [\$25,000.00] dollars that Plaintiff is found to be entitled to, together with costs, interest and attorneys fees, as well as all other damages allowed under Michigan Law.

#### COUNT VIII: MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE OF MID MICHIGAN HEALTH PARK-HOUGHTON LAKE, d/b/a/ MID MICHIGAN HEALTH SERVICES

- 68. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of Plaintiff's Complaint as though fully incorporated herein.
- 69. Defendant Mid-Michigan Health Park-Houghton Lake, d/b/a Mid-Michigan Health Services, was negligent, inter alia, in the following particulars, in that a licensed and accredited health care facility, through its agents, actual, and/or ostensible, servants, and/or employees, including, but not limited to, Kevin Denlinger, D.O., when presented with a patient exhibiting the history, signs and symptoms such as those demonstrated by Gregory Lee Richmond, had a duty to:
  - Select, employ, train and monitor its agents, actual and/or ostensible,
     servants, employees and/or its staff of physicians, nurses and residents, to

ensure they were competent to perform adequate medical care for a patient;

- b. Ensure that appropriate policies and procedures are adopted and followed;
- c. Competently managing Mr. Richmond's cardiac care needs and providing standard preventative care during the years and months preceding his cardiac arrest and death in February 2011, including but not necessarily limited to; obtaining thorough cardiac workups, regular EKGs, stress testing, cardiac ECHO, and timely catheterization and/or stenting and/or coronary artery bypass grafting to treat coronary artery disease.
- d. Maintaining healthy cholesterol and triglyceride levels by educating Mr. Richmond on nutritional modifications, ordering and following up on regular laboratory studies including lipid levels, and treating with statins or other cholesterol lowering medications.
- 70. That as the direct and proximate result of one or more of the violations of the standards of practice as hereinbefore alleged, including but not necessarily limited to; the failure to timely diagnose and treat the cardiac etiology of Gregory Richmond's back and left arm pain (including angina, coronary artery disease, myocardial ischemia, and evolving heart attack) at the time of clinic presentation on or around February 9, 2011, Mr. Richmond suffered continued myocardial death and fatal heart attack on February 26, 2011.
- 71. Were it not for the aforementioned breaches in the standards of practice, more likely than not, Gregory Richmond would not have suffered the cardiac arrest on February 26, 2011 resulting in death.
- 72. As a consequence of the Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff further claims all elements of damages permitted under Michigan's statutory and common law, whether known now or whether becoming known during the pendency of this case.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff hereby requests an award of damages against the Defendants herein, jointly and severally, in whatever amount above Twenty-Five Thousand [\$25,000.00]

Case 1:16-cv-10196-TLL-PTM ECF No. 1 filed 01/21/16 PageID.31 Page 31 of 53

dollars that Plaintiff is found to be entitled to, together with costs, interest and attorney's fees, as well as all other damages allowed under Michigan Law.

Respectfully Submitted:

MCKEEN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

BRIAN J. MCKALEN (P34123)

JOEL A. SANDIELD (P42968)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

645 Griswold St., Suite 4200

Detroit, MI 48226

(313) 961-4400

DATED: June \_\_\_\_, 2015

McKeen & Associates, P.C. • 645 Griswold Street, Suite 4200 • Detroit, MI 48226 • (313) 961-4400

- 20 -

#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

#### IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF ROSCOMMON

DAVID R. SABIN, as Personal Representative of the Estate of GREGORY LEE RICHMOND, deceased,

Plaintiff,

VS.

No. 15-Hon. -NH

ST. HELEN PRIMARY CARE/McLAREN
BAY REGION, d/b/a BAY REGIONAL MEDICAL
CENTER, MID MICHIGAN HEALTH PARKHOUGHTON LAKE, d/b/a MID MICHIGAN HEALTH
SERVICES, JAMES BASH, D.O., THEODORE
BASH, D.O., WAYNE WAHL, M.D., MARY
BURKETT, N.P., KEVIN DENLINGER, D.O., and
DR. JOHN/JANE DOE [physician who examined
and treated Mr. Richmond on or around February 9, 2011],
Jointly & Severally,

Defendants.

BRIAN J. McKEEN (P34123) JOEL A. SANFIELD (P42968) McKEEN & ASSOCIATES, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff 645 Griswold St., Suite 4200 Detroit, MI 48226 (313) 961-4400

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

NOW COMES Plaintiff, David R. Sabin, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Gregory Lee Richmond, deceased, by and through his attorneys, McKeen & Associates, P.C., and hereby demands a trial by jury in the above entitled cause of action.

Respectfully Submitted:

McKEEN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

JOEL A. SAMPIELD (P42968) Attorneys for Plaintiff 645 Griswold St., Suite 4200

Detroit, MI 48226

(313) 961-4400

DATED: June

McKeen & Associates, P.C. • 846 Griewold Street, Sulte 4200 • Detroit, MI 48226 • (313) 981-4400

#### Re: Gregory Lee Richmond, deceased

#### AFFIDAVIT OF MERITORIOUS CLAIM OF KIRSTEN TURKINGTON, NP

STATE OF ARIZONA	)
	)ss
COUNTY OF MARICOPA	)

I, Kirsten Turkington, N.P., by this Affidavit, state that during the relevant time period at issue in this matter, I was a licensed Nurse Practitioner, specializing in, and devoting a majority of my professional time for the preceding year to the clinical work of a Nurse Practitioner and I attest to the following:

- 1. I have read the Notice of Intent to File a Claim in this action.
- 2. I have reviewed all of the medical records provided to me by Plaintiff's Counsel.
- 3. Mary Burkett, N.P., as a licensed Nurse Practitioner, and as an agent and/or employee of Primary Care St. Helen/McLaren Bay Region, d/b/a Bay Regional Medical Center, when presented with a patient exhibiting the history, signs and symptoms such as those demonstrated by Gregory Lee Richmond, had a duty to timely and properly:
  - a. Exercising skill and caution when examining and treating Mr. Richmond when he presented on or around February 9, 2011 with back and left arm pain, by considering and working him up for a cardiac etiology. The appropriate workup includes, but is not necessarily limited to: stress testing, serial EKGs, and lab work including cardiac enzymes such as TROPONIN, CPK, CPK-MB, and Myoglobin.
  - b. Timely diagnosing and treating the cardiac etiology (angina, coronary artery disease, and/or evolving myocardial infarction) of Mr. Richmond's back and left arm pain on or around February 9, 2011, including but not

- necessarily limited to; transferring him to the hospital for ongoing cardiac monitoring, serial cardiac enzymes and EKGs, and cardiac catheterization.
- c. Timely re-vascularizing Mr. Richmond's myocardium via cardiac catheterization and stenting, or coronary artery bypass grafting on or around February 9, 2011.
- d. Refrain from sending Mr. Richmond home or dismissing him on February 9, 2011 with symptoms of cardiac compromise, angina, and/or evolving myocardial infarction.
- e. Inform Mr. Richmond that he might be having a heart attack and the associated risks.
- 4. St. Helen Primary Care/McLaren Bay Region, d/b/a Bay Regional Medical Center, a duly accredited and licensed health care institution which holds itself out to the public as being competent of rendering medical services, by and through their agents, actual and/or ostensible, servants and/or employees, including but not limited to, Mary Burkett, N.P., when confronted with a patient with the signs and symptoms such as those demonstrated by Gregory Lee Richmond, owed a duty to:
  - a. Select, employ, train and monitor its agents, actual and/or ostensible,
     servants, employees and/or its staff of physicians, nurses and residents, to
     ensure they were competent to perform adequate medical care for a patient;
  - b. Ensure that appropriate policies and procedures are adopted and followed;
  - c. Exercising skill and caution when examining and treating Mr. Richmond when he presented on or around February 9, 2011 with back and left arm pain, by considering and working him up for a cardiac etiology. The appropriate workup includes, but is not necessarily limited to: stress

- testing, serial EKGs, and lab work including cardiac enzymes such as TROPONIN, CPK, CPK-MB, and Myoglobin.
- d. Timely diagnosing and treating the cardiac etiology (angina, coronary artery disease, and/or evolving myocardial infarction) of Mr. Richmond's back and left arm pain on or around February 9, 2011, including but not necessarily limited to; transferring him to the hospital for ongoing cardiac monitoring, serial cardiac enzymes and EKGs, and cardiac catheterization.
- e. Timely re-vascularizing Mr. Richmond's myocardium via cardiac catheterization and stenting, or coronary artery bypass grafting on or around February 9, 2011.
- Refrain from sending Mr. Richmond home or dismissing him on February
   2011 with symptoms of cardiac compromise, angina, and/or evolving myocardial infarction.
- 5. It is my opinion, based upon the available information, as well as my training knowledge, education and experience as a Nurse Practitioner, there was a failure to do those acts listed above, and such omissions constitute violations of the applicable standard of care.
- 6. In order to have conformed to the standard of care, the above-named should have done those things listed in paragraphs 3, 4, and the respective subsections above.
- 7. As a direct and proximate result of one or more of the violations of the standards of practice as hereinbefore alleged, including but not necessarily limited to; the failure to timely diagnose and treat the cardiac etiology of Gregory Richmond's back and left arm pain (including angina, coronary artery disease, myocardial ischemia, and evolving heart attack) at the time of clinic presentation on or around February 9, 2011, Mr. Richmond suffered continued myocardial death and fatal heart attack on February 26, 2011.

- 8. Were it not for the aforementioned breaches in the standards of practice, more likely than not, Gregory Richmond would not have suffered the cardiac arrest on February 26, 2011 resulting in death.
- This opinion is based upon a review of the information to date and may or may not change upon review of additional materials.

Kirsten Turkington, NP

Subscribed and sworn to before me on this 29 day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2

Notary Public Maricopa [County]

My Commission Expires: July 31,201

Marine State State

#### Re: Gregory Lee Richmond, deceased

#### AFFIDAVIT OF MERITORIOUS CLAIM OF FINLEY W. BROWN, JR., M.D.

STATE OF ILLINOIS	)
	)ss
COUNTY OF COOK	)

I, Finley W. Brown, Jr., M.D., by this Affidavit, state that during the relevant time period at issue in this matter, I was a licensed and practicing physician, specializing in, and devoting a majority of my professional time for the preceding year to, the clinical practice of Family Medicine, and I attest to the following:

- 1. I have read the Notice of Intent to File a Claim in this action.
- 2. I have reviewed all of the medical records provided to me by Plaintiff's Counsel.
- 3. James Bash, D.O., a reasonable and prudent licensed and practicing physician, specializing in Family Medicine, and an agent and/or employee of Primary Care St. Helen/McLaren Bay Region, d/b/a Bay Regional Medical Center, when presented with a patient exhibiting the history, signs and symptoms such as those demonstrated by Gregory Lee Richmond, had a duty to timely and properly:
  - a. Exercising skill and caution when examining and treating Mr. Richmond when he presented on or around February 9, 2011 with back and left arm pain, by considering and working him up for a cardiac etiology. The appropriate workup includes, but is not necessarily limited to: stress testing, serial EKGs, and lab work including cardiac enzymes such as CPK, CPK-MB, and Myoglobin.
  - b. Timely diagnosing and treating the cardiac etiology (angina, coronary artery disease, and/or evolving myocardial infarction) of Mr. Richmond's

- back and left arm pain on or around February 9, 2011, including but not necessarily limited to; transferring him to the hospital for ongoing cardiac monitoring, serial cardiac enzymes and EKGs, and cardiac catheterization.
- c. Timely re-vascularizing Mr. Richmond's myocardium via cardiac catheterization and stenting, or coronary artery bypass grafting on or around February 9, 2011.
- d. Refrain from sending Mr. Richmond home on February 9, 2011 with symptoms of cardiac compromise, angina, and/or evolving myocardial infarction.
- e. Inform Mr. Richmond that he might be having symptoms of a heart attack and the associated risks.
- 4. Theodore Bash, D.O., a reasonable and prudent licensed and practicing physician, specializing in Family Medicine, and an agent and/or employee of Primary Care St. Helen/McLaren Bay Region, d/b/a Bay Regional Medical Center, when presented with a patient exhibiting the history, signs and symptoms such as those demonstrated by Gregory Lee Richmond, had a duty to timely and properly:
  - a. Exercising skill and caution when examining and treating Mr. Richmond when he presented on or around February 9, 2011 with back and left arm pain, by considering and working him up for a cardiac etiology. The appropriate workup includes, but is not necessarily limited to: stress testing, serial EKGs, and lab work including cardiac enzymes such as CPK, CPK-MB, and Myoglobin.
  - b. Timely diagnosing and treating the cardiac etiology (angina, coronary artery disease, and/or evolving myocardial infarction) of Mr. Richmond's back and left arm pain on or around February 9, 2011, including but not

- necessarily limited to; transferring him to the hospital for ongoing cardiac monitoring, serial cardiac enzymes and EKGs, and cardiac catheterization.
- c. Timely re-vascularizing Mr. Richmond's myocardium via cardiac catheterization and stenting, or coronary artery bypass grafting on or around February 9, 2011.
- d. Refrain from sending Mr. Richmond home on February 9, 2011 with symptoms of cardiac compromise, angina, and/or evolving myocardial infarction.
- e. Inform Mr. Richmond that he might be having symptoms of a heart attack and the associated risks.
- 5. Wayne Wahl, M.D., a reasonable and prudent licensed and practicing physician, specializing in Family Medicine, and an agent and/or employee of Primary Care St. Helen/McLaren Bay Region, d/b/a Bay Regional Medical Center, when presented with a patient exhibiting the history, signs and symptoms such as those demonstrated by Gregory Lee Richmond, had a duty to timely and properly:
  - a. Exercising skill and caution when examining and treating Mr. Richmond when he presented on or around February 9, 2011 with back and left arm pain, by considering and working him up for a cardiac etiology. The appropriate workup includes, but is not necessarily limited to: stress testing, serial EKGs, and lab work including cardiac enzymes such as CPK, CPK-MB, and Myoglobin.
  - b. Timely diagnosing and treating the cardiac etiology (angina, coronary artery disease, and/or evolving myocardial infarction) of Mr. Richmond's back and left arm pain on or around February 9, 2011, including but not

- necessarily limited to; transferring him to the hospital for ongoing cardiac monitoring, serial cardiac enzymes and EKGs, and cardiac catheterization.
- c. Timely re-vascularizing Mr. Richmond's myocardium via cardiac catheterization and stenting, or coronary artery bypass grafting on or around February 9, 2011.
- d. Refrain from sending Mr. Richmond home on February 9, 2011 with symptoms of cardiac compromise, angina, and/or evolving myocardial infarction.
- e. Inform Mr. Richmond that he might be having symptoms of a heart attack and the associated risks.
- 6. Dr. John/Jane Doe [physician who examined and treated Mr. Richmond on or around February 9, 2011], a reasonable and prudent licensed and practicing physician, specializing in Family Medicine, and an agent and/or employee of Primary Care St. Helen/McLaren Bay Region, d/b/a Bay Regional Medical Center, when presented with a patient exhibiting the history, signs and symptoms such as those demonstrated by Gregory Lee Richmond, had a duty to timely and properly:
  - a. Exercising skill and caution when examining and treating Mr. Richmond when he presented on or around February 9, 2011 with back and left arm pain, by considering and working him up for a cardiac etiology. The appropriate workup includes, but is not necessarily limited to: stress testing, serial EKGs, and lab work including cardiac enzymes such as CPK, CPK-MB, and Myoglobin.
  - b. Timely diagnosing and treating the cardiac etiology (angina, coronary artery disease, and/or evolving myocardial infarction) of Mr. Richmond's back and left arm pain on or around February 9, 2011, including but not

- necessarily limited to; transferring him to the hospital for ongoing cardiac monitoring, serial cardiac enzymes and EKGs, and cardiac catheterization.
- c. Timely re-vascularizing Mr. Richmond's myocardium via cardiac catheterization and stenting, or coronary artery bypass grafting on or around February 9, 2011.
- d. Refrain from sending Mr. Richmond home on February 9, 2011 with symptoms of cardiac compromise, angina, and/or evolving myocardial infarction.
- e. Inform Mr. Richmond that he might be having symptoms of a heart attack and the associated risks.
- 7. Kevin Denlinger, D.O., a reasonable and prudent licensed and practicing physician, specializing in Family Medicine, and an agent and/or employee of Mid-Michigan Health Park-Houghton Lake, d/b/a Mid-Michigan Health Services, when presented with a patient exhibiting the history, signs and symptoms such as those demonstrated by Gregory Lee Richmond, had a duty to timely and properly:
  - a. Competently managing Mr. Richmond's cardiac care needs and risk factors and providing standard preventative care during the years and months preceding his cardiac arrest and death in February 2011, including but not necessarily limited to; obtaining thorough cardiac workups, regular EKGs, stress testing, cardiac ECHO, and timely catheterization and/or stenting and/or coronary artery bypass grafting to treat coronary artery disease.
  - Maintaining healthy cholesterol and triglyceride levels by educating Mr.
     Richmond on nutritional modifications, ordering and following up on

- regular laboratory studies including lipid levels, and treating with statins or other cholesterol lowering medications.
- Adequately informing Mr. Richmond of his cardiac risk factors and the significance of same to his overall health and life expectancy
- d. Adequately inform Mr. Richmond of any necessary risk modification behaviors that might reduce his cardiac risk factors.
- 8. St. Helen Primary Care/McLaren Bay Region, d/b/a Bay Regional Medical Center, a duly accredited and licensed health care institution, by and through their agents, actual and/or ostensible, servants and/or employees, including but not limited to, James Bash, D.O., Theodore Bash, D.O., Wayne Wahl, M.D., and Dr. John/Jane Doe [physician who examined and treated Mr. Richmond on or around February 9, 2011], which holds itself out to the public as being competent of rendering medical services, when confronted with a patient with the signs and symptoms such as those demonstrated by Gregory Lee Richmond, owed a duty to:
  - Select, employ, train and monitor its agents, actual and/or ostensible,
     servants, employees and/or its staff of physicians, nurses and residents, to
     ensure they were competent to perform adequate medical care for a patient;
  - b. Ensure that appropriate policies and procedures are adopted and followed;
  - c. Exercising skill and caution when examining and treating Mr. Richmond when he presented on or around February 9, 2011 with back and left arm pain, by considering and working him up for a cardiac etiology. The appropriate workup includes, but is not necessarily limited to: stress testing, serial EKGs, and lab work including cardiac enzymes such as CPK, CPK-MB, and Myoglobin.

- d. Timely diagnosing and treating the cardiac etiology (angina, coronary artery disease, and/or evolving myocardial infarction) of Mr. Richmond's back and left arm pain on or around February 9, 2011, including but not necessarily limited to; transferring him to the hospital for ongoing cardiac monitoring, serial cardiac enzymes and EKGs, and cardiac catheterization.
- e. Timely re-vascularizing Mr. Richmond's myocardium via cardiac catheterization and stenting, or coronary artery bypass grafting on or around February 9, 2011.
- f. Refrain from sending Mr. Richmond home on February 9, 2011 with symptoms of cardiac compromise, angina, and/or evolving myocardial infarction.
- 9. Mid-Michigan Health Park-Houghton Lake, d/b/a Mid-Michigan Health Services, a duly accredited and licensed health care institution, by and through their agents, actual and/or ostensible, servants and/or employees, including but not limited to, Kevin Denlinger, D.O., which holds itself out to the public as being competent of rendering medical services, when confronted with a patient with the signs and symptoms such as those demonstrated by Gregory Lee Richmond, owed a duty to:
  - a. Select, employ, train and monitor its agents, actual and/or ostensible, servants, employees and/or its staff of physicians, nurses and residents, to ensure they were competent to perform adequate medical care for a patient;
  - b. Ensure that appropriate policies and procedures are adopted and followed;
  - c. Competently managing Mr. Richmond's cardiac care needs and providing standard preventative care during the years and months preceding his cardiac arrest and death in February 2011, including but not necessarily

- limited to; obtaining thorough cardiac workups, regular EKGs, stress testing, cardiac ECHO, and timely catheterization and/or stenting and/or coronary artery bypass grafting to treat coronary artery disease.
- d. Maintaining healthy cholesterol and triglyceride levels by educating Mr. Richmond on nutritional modifications, ordering and following up on regular laboratory studies including lipid levels, and treating with statins or other cholesterol lowering medications.
- 10. It is my opinion, based upon the available information, as well as my training knowledge, education and experience in Family Medicine, that there was a failure to do those acts listed above, and such omissions constitute violations of the applicable standard of care.
- 11. In order to have conformed to the standard of care, the above-named should have done those things listed in paragraphs 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and the respective subsections above.
- 12. That as the direct and proximate result of one or more of the violations of the standards of practice as hereinbefore alleged, including but not necessarily limited to; the failure to timely diagnose and treat the cardiac etiology of Gregory Richmond's back and left arm pain (including angina, coronary artery disease, myocardial ischemia, and evolving heart attack) at the time of clinic presentation on or around February 9, 2011, Mr. Richmond suffered continued myocardial death and fatal heart attack on February 26, 2011.
- 13. Were it not for the aforementioned breaches in the standards of practice, more likely than not, Gregory Richmond would not have suffered the cardiac arrest on February 26, 2011 resulting in death.
- 14. This opinion is based upon a review of the information to date and may or may not change upon review of additional materials.

- limited to; obtaining thorough cardiac workups, regular EKGs, stress testing, cardiac ECHO, and timely catheterization and/or stenting and/or coronary artery bypass grafting to treat coronary artery disease.
- d. Maintaining healthy cholesterol and triglyceride levels by educating Mr. Richmond on nutritional modifications, ordering and following up on regular laboratory studies including lipid levels, and treating with statins or other cholesterol lowering medications.
- 10. It is my opinion, based upon the available information, as well as my training knowledge, education and experience in Family Medicine, that there was a failure to do those acts listed above, and such omissions constitute violations of the applicable standard of care.
- 11. In order to have conformed to the standard of care, the above-named should have done those things listed in paragraphs 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and the respective subsections above.
- 12. That as the direct and proximate result of one or more of the violations of the standards of practice as hereinbefore alleged, including but not necessarily limited to; the failure to timely diagnose and treat the cardiac etiology of Gregory Richmond's back and left arm pain (including angina, coronary artery disease, myocardial ischemia, and evolving heart attack) at the time of clinic presentation on or around February 9, 2011, Mr. Richmond suffered continued myocardial death and fatal heart attack on February 26, 2011.
- 13. Were it not for the aforementioned breaches in the standards of practice, more likely than not, Gregory Richmond would not have suffered the cardiac arrest on February 26, 2011 resulting in death.
- 14. This opinion is based upon a review of the information to date and may or may not change upon review of additional materials.

· Case 1:16-cv-10196-TLL-PTM ECF No. 1 filed 01/21/16 PageID.47 Page 47 of 53

SCANNED

Notary Public

Finley W. Brown, Jr., M.D.

Subscribed and sworn to before me on

this 1674 day of 11900bs , 2015

[Name] [County]

My Commission Expires: Quest 29 2016

MiRIAM J. GONZALES
OFFICIAL SEAL
Notary Public - State of Illinois
My Commission Expires
August 29, 2016

McKeen & Associates, P.C. • 845 Griswold Street, Suite 4200 • Detroit, MI 48226 • (313) 961-4400

Ć

#### Case 1:16-cv-10196-TLL-PTM ECF No. 1 filed 01/21/16 PageID.48 Page 48 of 53

STATE OF MICHIGAN 34TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

NOTICE TO APPEAR

CASE NO.

15-722469-NH

Court Address

ROSCOMMON COUNTY 500 LAKE STREET ROSCOMMON MI 48653 Court Telephone No. 989-275-1902

Mailing Date: 12/30/15

Mail To:

MID MICHIGAN HEALTH SVCS RES-JAMES P RASCHKE 9249 W LAKE CITY ROAD HOUGHTON LAKE MI 48629 YOU ARE DIRECTED TO APPEAR AT:

The court address above, ROSCOMMON COUNTY CIRCUIT COURTROOM

HON. ROBERT W. BENNETT

Plaintiff

GREGORY LEE ESTATE RICHMOND

Defendant

v

ST HELEN PRIMARY CARE

#### FOR THE FOLLOWING PURPOSE:

1. ON - MONDAY DATE - FEBRUARY 1, 2016 FOR STATUS CONFERENCE

TIME - 1:30PM

THIS NOTICE HAS ALSO BEEN SENT TO:

BRIAN J. MCKEEN P34123 BRUCE E. BIGLER P23656 MID MICHIGAN HEALTH PARK MID MICHIGAN HEALTH PARK KEVIN DENLINGER DO

FAILURE OF THE PLAINTIFF/DEFENDANT TO APPEAR may result in a dismissal of the case or cause a default judgment to be entered.

#### Case 1:16-cv-10196-TLL-PTM ECF No. 1 filed 01/21/16 PageID.49 Page 49 of 53

STATE OF MICHIGAN 34TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

NOTICE TO APPEAR

CASE NO.

15-722469-NH

Court Address

ROSCOMMON COUNTY 500 LAKE STREET ROSCOMMON MI 48653 Court Telephone No. 989-275-1902

Mailing Date: 12/30/15

Mail To:

MID MICHIGAN HEALTH PARK DBA-MID MICHIGAN HEALTH SVCS C/O JAMES P RASCHKE 9249 W LAKE CITY ROAD HOUGHTON LAKE MI 48629 YOU ARE DIRECTED TO APPEAR AT:

The court address above,
ROSCOMMON COUNTY CIRCUIT COURTROOM

HON. ROBERT W. BENNETT

Plaintiff

GREGORY LEE ESTATE RICHMOND

Defendant

v

ST HELEN PRIMARY CARE

#### FOR THE FOLLOWING PURPOSE:

1. ON - MONDAY DATE - FEBRUARY 1, 2016 FOR STATUS CONFERENCE

TIME - 1:30PM

THIS NOTICE HAS ALSO BEEN SENT TO:

BRIAN J. MCKEEN P34123 BRUCE E. BIGLER P23656 MID MICHIGAN HEALTH PARK MID MICHIGAN HEALTH SVCS KEVIN DENLINGER DO

FAILURE OF THE PLAINTIFF/DEFENDANT TO APPEAR may result in a dismissal of the case or cause a default judgment to be entered.

#### \_\_Case 1:16-cv-10196-TLL-PTM ECF No. 1 filed 01/21/16 PageID.50 Page 50 of 53

STATE OF MICHIGAN 34TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

NOTICE TO APPEAR

CASE NO.

15-722469-NH

Court Address

ROSCOMMON COUNTY 500 LAKE STREET ROSCOMMON MI 48653 Court Telephone No. 989-275-1902

Mailing Date: 12/30/15

Mail To:

MID MICHIGAN HEALTH PARK C/O-LEGAL AFFAIRS 9249 W LAKE CITY ROAD HOUGHTON LAKE MI 48629 YOU ARE DIRECTED TO APPEAR AT:

The court address above,
ROSCOMMON COUNTY CIRCUIT COURTROOM

HON. ROBERT W. BENNETT

Plaintiff

GREGORY LEE ESTATE RICHMOND

Defendant

V

ST HELEN PRIMARY CARE

#### FOR THE FOLLOWING PURPOSE:

1. ON - MONDAY DATE - FEBRUARY 1, 2016 FOR STATUS CONFERENCE

TIME - 1:30PM

THIS NOTICE HAS ALSO BEEN SENT TO:

BRIAN J. MCKEEN P34123 BRUCE E. BIGLER P23656 MID MICHIGAN HEALTH PARK MID MICHIGAN HEALTH SVCS KEVIN DENLINGER DO

FAILURE OF THE PLAINTIFF/DEFENDANT TO APPEAR may result in a dismissal of the case or cause a default judgment to be entered.

# Exhibit 2

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

DAVID R. SABIN, as personal representative of the estate of Gregory Lee Richmond, deceased,

Plaintiff,	Case No.
,	Hon. Thomas L. Ludington
v.	Magistrate Judge Patricia T. Morris

ST. HELEN PRIMARY CARE/
McLAREN BAY REGION, d/b/a
Bay Regional Medical Center,
MID MICHIGAN HEALTH PARK-HOUGHTON
LAKE, d/b/a Mid Michigan Health
Services, JAMES BASH, D.O.,
THEODORE BASH, D.O., WAYNE
WAHL, M.D., MARY BURKETT, N.P.,
KEVIN DENLINGER, D.O.,
DR. JOHN/JANE DOE, jointly and
severally,

Defendants.	
	/

## **CERTIFICATE OF SCOPE OF EMPLOYMENT**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2679, as amended by Public Law 100-694, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 233, and by virtue of the authority vested in the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Michigan by the Attorney General under 28

U.S.C. § 510 and 28 C.F.R. § 15.3, and re-delegated to me by the administrative directive of the United States Attorney, I hereby certify that:

- 1. I have read the complaint in this action.
- 2. On the basis of the information now available with respect to the allegations made in the complaint, and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2671 and 42 U.S.C. § 233(c), I find that Dr. Kenneth Denlinger, in his official capacity as an employee of a federally funded health center at all relevant times, was acting within the course and scope of his employment as an employee of the United States at the time of the conduct alleged in the complaint.

BARBARA L. McQUADE

United States Attorney

ELIZABETH J. LARIN

Chief, Civil Division

Office of the U.S. Attorney

Eastern District of Michigan

211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2001

Detroit, MI 48226-3211

(313) 226-9782

Date: January 20, 2016